

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/743,490	1	2/23/2003	Nobuo Ichimura	062709-0124	062709-0124 7811		
22428	7590	07/26/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
FOLEY AN SUITE 500	D LARD	NER		ноок, л	HOOK, JAMES F		
3000 K STREET NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20007				3754			

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			800
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- 00
Advisory Action	10/743,490	ICHIMURA ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	James F. Hook	3754	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cf	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \boxtimes The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire!			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	LED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	he issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a 		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

13. Other: ____.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

James F. Hook Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3754 Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed additional language to claim 1 creates a new issue where the independent claim did not originally include coupling structure in combination with the hose which then would change the combination of limitations required by the dependent claims some of which never depended from a claim requiring coupling structure. Such therefore is a new issue requiring further search and consideration based upon the new combination of limitations. Also, as set forth above additional claims are presented without canceling a corresponding amount of finally rejected claims. With respect to the request of acknowledgement of the receipt of the priority documents, applicant is directed to the previous office action, paper 03212005, form PTOL-326, specifically the "Priority under 35 USC 119" which sets forth that all the priority documents were received.

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the proposed amendment to the claims removing the subject matter that was cited as new matter would overcome the new matter rejection.